

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/021,568	12/12/2001	Ran Kohen	734-A01-019	9278 -
7:	590 04/14/2003			
MARK S. COHEN			EXAMINER	
EITAN PEARL LATZER & COHEN ZEDEK, LLP 10 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA SUITE 1001			` ABRAMS, NEIL	
NEW YORK, NY 10020			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2839	
			DATE MAILED: 04/14/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,					
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Offic Action Summary	Examiner Ab	Fams 2839			
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet l	beneath the correspondence address —			
P riod for Reply	つ				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE			
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defa Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the r term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	a reply within the statutory m ault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS tatute, cause the application	ninimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•			
☐ This action is FINAL.					
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19	pt for formal matters, pr 35 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 21	osecution as to the merits is closed in 3.			
Disposition of Claims					
Claim(s) 1 - 6	is/are pending in the application.				
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
Claim(s) /- 3, 5, 6	is/are rejected.				
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
	are subject to restriction or election				
Application Papers		requirement			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on					
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj	ected to by the Examine	•			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)					
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 ((a)-(d).			
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:					
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been					
□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume	,	0/-1)			
in this national stage application from the Internation *Certified copies not received:	• •	• "			
Attachment(s)		-			
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper I	No(s)	Intervi w Summary, PTO-413			
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152				
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Pat nt Drawing R view, PTO-9	Other				
Office Acti n Summary					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 10/021,568

Art Unit: 2839

Spec. Page 1, line 13, copy of the listed patent should be submitted.

Claims 1-3, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rendano in view of admitted prior art (APA), Larkin, Ellis, De Widt and Person.

It would have been obvious to fix base 11 to a wall or ceiling since such mounts for fixtures is well known, see Person, De Widt and APA, spec page 1. Also obvious to form Rendano parts 12, 16 as plug and socket in view of Larkin at 28 (plug 29, socket 30). Releasable latch shown at 23, 25. For claim 3, obvious to use Ellis type latch with ball detentes. For claim 6, also obvious to use device for a lamp as in Larkin.

Claims 1, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Appleton in view of Larkin, De Widt and Person.

Obvious to use 50 as a ceiling box in view of Person and De Widt. Also obvious to form parts 30, 31 as socket and plug in view of Larkin, fig. 2. Appleton device includes releasable latch means at 52, 54.

Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to N. Abrams at telephone number 308-1729.

Art Unit: 2839

Abrams/ek

04/09/03

M CUL NEW ARRAMS EXAMINER ART UNIT 322